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Supplementary Information

In this supplementary information we give further details of the modifications made to the Luo Rudy dynamic (LRd) model used to simulate the effects of acidosis in cardiac myocytes.

**Acid-Equivalent Transport in the Myocyte**

Kinetic models for the four sarcolemmal acid-equivalent transporters were developed using six-state schemes, shown in Fig. S-1. The development of kinetic models for these transporters allows us: (i) to distinguish the relative effects of respiratory acidosis (where extracellular CO₂ diffuses across the membrane, inducing a drop in intracellular pH) versus intracellular metabolic acidosis (intracellular accumulation of protons); (ii) to represent the relative action of extracellular and intracellular pH on transporter flux (this coupling has been shown to fundamentally affect the pH transient on either side of the membrane (3)) and (iii) to determine the effect on acid transport of changing concentrations of the other ligands: HCO₃⁻, Cl⁻ and, in particular, the elevated intracellular Na⁺ that occurs during acidosis due to proton-coupled Na⁺ transport (4).

Here we derive the steady-state fluxes, using rapid equilibrium assumptions for ion binding and unbinding. For simplicity we assume ligand dissociation constants to be equal on each side of the membrane, although this is not a necessary assumption for the following analysis. Transitions between intracellular and extracellular-facing conformations of the protein are assumed to take place on a slower timescale than ion binding and unbinding events. NBC is modelled as a compulsory-order cotransporter, Fig. S-1(a). At thermodynamic equilibrium, each transition between states of the transport cycle must be in equilibrium (detailed balance, see Hill (1) for example).

\[ k_i^+ S_i = k_i^- S_{i+1} \]  
\[ (S-1) \]

where the \( S_i \) are state occupancy probabilities (which can be interpreted as the fraction of time the transporter is in each state) and \( k_i^+ \) and \( k_i^- \) are the rates of transition between the \( i \)th and \( i + 1 \)th states, clockwise and anti-clockwise, respectively. In this case, using the rapid binding approximation

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{|A|}{K_{A_e}} S_1 &= S_2 \\
\frac{|B|}{K_{B_e}} S_2 &= S_3 \\
S_4 &= \frac{|B|}{K_{B_i}} S_5 \\
S_5 &= \frac{|A|}{K_{A_i}} S_6 \\
k_1^+ S_6 &= k_1^- S_1 \\
(S-2) \end{align*}
\]

where \( K_{A_e} \) and \( K_{A_i} \) are the intra- and extra-cellular dissociation constants for A, and so forth. Multiplying these expressions we find

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{6} k_i^+ S_i = \prod_{i=1}^{6} k_i^- S_{i+1} \]  
\[ (S-4) \]

where \( S_7 \equiv S_1 \), and thus detailed balance requires

\[ \frac{k_1^+ k_2^+ \frac{|A|}{K_{A_e}} \frac{|B|}{K_{B_e}}}{k_1^- k_2^- \frac{|A|}{K_{A_i}} \frac{|B|}{K_{B_i}}} = \prod_{i=1}^{6} \frac{S_i}{S_{i+1}} = 1 \]  
\[ (S-5) \]

At thermodynamic equilibrium the free energy change of the transport cycle is zero, thus intra- and extra-cellular concentrations of each of the transported ions must be equal, and
Figure S-1: Schematic diagrams for six-state compulsory order (a) cotransporter (NBC) and (b) exchanger (NHE, CHE, AE). A simplified, two-state cycle is shown in figure (c). For the cotransporter (a), NBC has $A = \text{Na}^+$ and $B = \text{HCO}_3^-$, while for the three exchangers (b), NHE has $A = \text{H}^+$ and $B = \text{Na}^+$, for CHE $A = \text{OH}^-$ and $B = \text{Cl}^-$ and for AE $A = \text{HCO}_3^-$ and $B = \text{Cl}^-$.

if we make the simplifying assumption that intra- and extra-cellular dissociation constants are equal, then

$$k_1^+ k_2^+ = k_1^- k_2^-$$

Thus from thermodynamic considerations only three of these four rate constants can be specified independently.

The transport flux can be determined using the rapid equilibrium approximation to simplify the transporter cycle kinetics. Assuming rapid binding, the three states representing intra- and extra-cellular facing conformations can be collapsed, giving

$$S_1 + S_2 + S_3 \equiv \tilde{S}_e = \left(1 + \frac{[A]_e}{K_A} \right) \frac{[A]_e [B]_e}{K_A K_B} S_1$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{[A]_e}{K_A} \right) \frac{[A]_e [B]_e}{K_A K_B} S_3$$

$$S_4 + S_5 + S_6 \equiv \tilde{S}_i = \left(1 + \frac{[A]_i}{K_A} \right) \frac{[A]_i [B]_i}{K_A K_B} S_4$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{[A]_i}{K_A} \right) \frac{[A]_i [B]_i}{K_A K_B} S_6$$

(for further details of this model simplification, see Smith and Crampin (2)) and hence the kinetics are equivalent to a two-state model, $\tilde{S}_i$, $\tilde{S}_e$, Fig. S-1(c), with clockwise transition rates $\alpha_{1,2}^+$ and anti-clockwise rates $\alpha_{1,2}^-$ which are functions of the ionic concentrations:

$$\alpha_{1}^+(A)_i, [B]_i) = q \frac{k_1^+ K_A K_B}{K_A K_B + K_B [A]_i + [A]_i [B]_i}$$

$$\alpha_{2}^+(A)_{e, [B]_i} = \frac{k_2^+ K_A K_B}{K_A K_B + K_B [A]_i + [A]_i [B]_i}$$

$$\alpha_{1}^-(A)_{e, [B]_i} = \frac{k_1^- K_A K_B}{K_A K_B + K_B [A]_i + [A]_i [B]_i}$$

$$\alpha_{2}^-(A)_{i, [B]_i} = \frac{k_2^- [A]_i [B]_i}{K_A K_B + K_B [A]_i + [A]_i [B]_i}$$

At steady state

$$\tilde{S}_e = 1 - \tilde{S}_i = \frac{\alpha_{1}^+ + \alpha_{2}^-}{\alpha_{1}^- + \alpha_{1}^+ + \alpha_{2}^- + \alpha_{2}^+}$$
and hence the steady-state transport flux $J_{\text{cotrans}}$ is
\[ J_{\text{cotrans}}([A]_i, [A]_e, [B]_i, [B]_e) = \alpha_1^+ \tilde{S}_i - \alpha_1^- \tilde{S}_e \]  
\[ = \frac{\alpha_1^+ \alpha_2^- - \alpha_1^- \alpha_2^+}{\alpha_1^+ + \alpha_2^+ + \alpha_2^- + \alpha_1^-} \]  
(S-14)  
(S-15)

Alternatively, the steady-state flux can be derived using Hill’s diagram method (1).

The three other transporters, NHE, CHE and AE are modelled as compulsory order exchangers. Steady-state transport flux for the compulsory order exchanger (Fig. S-1(b)) may be derived using the same approach, yielding the same thermodynamic constraint on the rate constants for conformational change of the protein. The rapid equilibrium assumption reduces the model to a two-state cycle (Fig. S-1(c)) with state transition rates
\[ \beta_1^+ ([A]_i, [B]_i) = \frac{k_1^+ [A]_i K_B}{K_A + [A]_i + K_B [B]_i} \]  
\[ \beta_1^- ([A]_e, [B]_e) = \frac{k_1^- [A]_e K_B}{K_A + [A]_e + K_B [B]_e} \]  
\[ \beta_2^+ ([A]_e, [B]_e) = \frac{k_2^+ [B]_e K_B}{K_B + [A]_e + K_B [B]_e} \]  
\[ \beta_2^- ([A]_i, [B]_i) = \frac{k_2^- [B]_i K_B}{K_B + [A]_i + K_B [B]_i} \]  
(S-16)  
(S-17)

and the steady-state exchanger flux $J_{\text{exch}}$ is given by the equation
\[ J_{\text{exch}}([A]_i, [A]_e, [B]_i, [B]_e) = \frac{\beta_1^+ \beta_2^- - \beta_1^- \beta_2^+}{\beta_1^+ + \beta_1^- + \beta_2^+ + \beta_2^-} \]  
(S-18)

Experimental data on the cotransporter flux $J_{\text{ne}}$ and three exchanger fluxes $J_{\text{ne}}$, $J_{\text{ch}}$ and $J_{\text{ae}}$ were fitted to these expressions along with allosteric modulation of the fluxes by intra- and extra-cellular pH, as given by Eq. 15–18, respectively.

**Allosteric Regulation:** Where appropriate we have incorporated allosteric regulation of the transport fluxes by protons, at intracellular and extracellular binding sites, according to available pH$_i$ and pH$_e$ data. We assumed that proton dissociation constants for allosteric sites are independent of the conformation of the protein, and hence these allosteric interactions modulate the effective proportion of available transporters.

**Parameter Estimation:** Parameters for the four acid-equivalent transporters were estimated using a sequential quadratic programming algorithm (5) to optimise the model fit to pH$_i$-dependence data, measured by Leem et al. (6), along with whole-cell steady-state pH$_i$ and pH$_e$ data from Vaughan-Jones and Spitzer (3). Use of these whole-cell data requires that the parameters for the transporters be fitted simultaneously.

Firstly, we used data from Leem et al. (6), Ch’en and Vaughan-Jones (7) and van Borren et al. (8) to fit intra and extracellular concentration dependence of $J_{\text{ne}}$, independently of the other transporter models. Specifically the pH$_i$ dependence was defined by the data of Leem et al. (6) who pharmacologically inhibited NHE and then induced an intracellular acid load by ammonium prepulse at a 5% CO$_2$ partial pressure (P$_{\text{CO}_2}$). To fit NBC flux data, pH$_i$, pHe, intra and extracellular sodium and intra and extracellular bicarbonate must be defined for each data point. The CO$_2$ concentration [CO$_2$] = $\alpha P_{\text{CO}_2}$, where $\alpha = 0.03253$ mM mmHg$^{-1}$ is the solubility of CO$_2$ (and atmospheric pressure is 760 mmHg). Thus at atmospheric pressure, a partial pressure of 5% CO$_2$ corresponds to a concentration of 1.236 mM. From the CO$_2$ hydration reaction (see below), at equilibrium in the extracellular space
\[ \text{[HCO}_3^-]_e = [\text{CO}_2]_e 10^\text{pH}_e - \text{pK}_a. \]  
(S-19)
CO₂ diffuses across the cell membrane so, at equilibrium, \([\text{HCO}_3^-]_i\) can be calculated from:

\[
[\text{HCO}_3^-]_i = [\text{HCO}_3^-]_e \times 10^{p\text{H}_i - p\text{H}_e}
\]  

(S-20)

where pKa for CO₂ hydration is 6.12 at 37°C. Thus at equilibrium when pHᵢ and pHₑ are 7.1 and 7.4, respectively, bicarbonate concentrations are approximately 12 mM (\([\text{HCO}_3^-]_i\)) and 22 mM (\([\text{HCO}_3^-]_e\)). Thus from Eq. S-19 the change in \([\text{HCO}_3^-]_i\) can be determined as pHᵢ is altered. The dependence of NBC flux on pHₑ and extracellular sodium was defined with the data of Chi’en and Vaughan-Jones (7). In this study NBC flux was measured after a 15 mM ammonium prepulse (assumed in the model to reduce intracellular pHᵢ to 6.7) over a range of extracellular sodium concentrations reporting a \(V_{max}\) of 2.07 mM min⁻¹ and a Hill coefficient of 0.97. The pHₑ dependence was measured by altering \([\text{HCO}_3^-]_e\) from 2 to 60 mM which in turn varies pHₑ (see Eq. S-20) while setting \([\text{HCO}_3^-]_i\) constant using 3% CO₂. Finally the reported reduction in NBC flux to zero at pHₑ of 6.4 (8) was introduced as an additional data point in the model fit. Intracellular Na⁺, while not stated in this study, was assumed to be at the normal resting values for the myocyte of 12.23 mM in all of these studies and \([\text{Na}^+]_e\) was set at 140.4 mM when not explicitly varied. The model NBC flux was fitted simultaneously using data from these three sources and the model fit with experimental data is shown in Fig. 5 and in Fig. S-2(a)-(b). Model parameters are listed in the first column of Table 3.

Following fitting of the NBC model, parameters for NHE, CHE and AE were fitted simultaneously. Intracellular pH dependence was defined once again using the data of Leem et al. (6), with intra and extracellular chloride concentrations, again not reported, and thus assumed to be at resting myocyte levels of 20.0 and 126.0 mM respectively (9). The Hill coefficient of allosteric intracellular regulation was constrained in the fitting process, as proposed by Vaughan-Jones and Spitzer (3). The extracellular inhibition of NHE to 60% of its resting flux at a pHₑ of 6.4 (8) was included as an additional data point. The extracellular pH dependence of all NHE, CHE and AE was constrained using the steady-state relationship reported by Sun et al. (10) and Vaughan-Jones and Spitzer (3). From these data a linear gradient of 0.35 pHᵢ units per unit change in pHₑ was reported at steady state over a range of pHₑ values in both CO₂ free solution (where only NHE and CHE) are active and in the presence of 5% CO₂ buffers (when all four transporters are active). The model fit to this steady-state relationship in CO₂-free solution is shown in Fig. S-2(c) which constrains the relationship between NHE and CHE over the range of pH changes. Figure S-2(d) shows the model fitted to experimental data where the data have been shifted to accommodate the equilibrium values defined by the intracellular pH-dependent data of Leem et al. (6) (this experimental variation is acknowledged in the original data (3)). For all fits, Na⁺ and Cl⁻ values were unchanged from the resting cellular concentrations used above. In both cases the the gradient of 0.35 pHᵢ/pHₑ is maintained in the model and Fig. 5 demonstrates excellent fits to the intracellular pHᵢ-dependent data. The full list of parameters for each of the transporters is given in Table 3.

Ca²⁺ Buffering

In order to determine the total calcium in the cell, required for the charge-balance calculation of membrane potential, we need to know buffered calcium concentration. We
Figure S-2: (a) Model (solid) and experimental fit (7) (dashed) $[\text{Na}^+]_e$-dependence of NBC flux. (b) Model (solid) and experimental fit (7) (dashed) pH$_e$-dependence of NBC flux. (c) Model (+) and experimentally measured (3) ($\times$) steady-state relationship between pH$_i$ and pH$_e$ in CO$_2$ free buffer. (d) Model (+), experimentally measured (3) ($\times$) and adjusted (dashed) experimental fit to steady-state relationship between pH$_i$ and pH$_e$ in 5% CO$_2$ buffer.
calculate calcium buffering explicitly, assuming steady-state buffering, according to

\[
[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_B = \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]}{K_M^B + [\text{Ca}^{2+}]} \quad (S-21)
\]

where \( B \) is the buffer Troponin (trpn), Calmodulin (cmdn) or Calsequestrin (csqn), \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_B\) is the maximum calcium buffering on \( B \) (i.e. total concentration of \( B \)) and \([\text{Ca}]\) is the free calcium concentration in the appropriate compartment (cytosol and junctional SR (jsr) respectively). Buffering strength, \( \beta_{\text{Ca}} \), defined by

\[
\frac{d[\text{Ca}]}{dt} = J \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{\text{Ca}}} \quad (S-22)
\]

where \( J \) is the net inward flux of calcium into the compartment, is given by

\[
\beta_{\text{Ca}} = 1 + \sum_B \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_B}{K_M^B} \frac{K_M^B}{(K_M^B + [\text{Ca}^{2+}])^2} \quad (S-23)
\]

where the sum is over all buffers present in the compartment.

**Initial Conditions**

Initial conditions which provide stable concentrations on a beat-to-beat basis for the LRd model with modifications outlined above at a 500 ms cycle length are given in Table 1. Initially, CO\(_2\) is assumed to be equilibrated across the cell membrane (at 5% CO\(_2\), which is equivalent to a concentration of 1.236 mM), and the CO\(_2\) hydration reaction is assumed to be at equilibrium on either side of the membrane (which, given initial pH\(_i\) and pH\(_e\), determines the initial intra- and extra-cellular bicarbonate concentrations, 13.4 mM and 23.6 mM, respectively).
### Table 1: Initial conditions for pacing at 500 ms intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Membrane potential</td>
<td>mV</td>
<td>$E_m$</td>
<td>$-0.6955 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>$pH_i$</td>
<td>$0.7150 \times 10^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>$pH_e$</td>
<td>$0.7400 \times 10^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>$[CO_2]$</td>
<td>$0.1236 \times 10^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular Na⁺ concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>$[Na^+]_i$</td>
<td>$0.1267 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular K⁺ concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>$[K^+]_i$</td>
<td>$0.1308 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular Cl⁻ concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>$[Cl^-]_i$</td>
<td>$0.2174 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>$[Ca^{2+}]_i$</td>
<td>$0.1466 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junctional SR Ca²⁺ concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>$[Ca^{2+}]_{jsr}$</td>
<td>$0.9918 \times 10^0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network SR Ca²⁺ concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>$[Ca^{2+}]_{nsr}$</td>
<td>$0.2271 \times 10^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺ channel $E_m$-dependant activation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>$0.1506 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺ channel $E_m$-dependant inactivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>$0.9882$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺ channel $E_m$-dependant inactivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$j$</td>
<td>$0.9929$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-type Ca²⁺ channel $E_m$-dependant activation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>$0.1320 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-type Ca²⁺ channel $E_m$-dependant inactivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>$0.9992$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slowly Activating K⁺ time-dependant activation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$x_{s1}$</td>
<td>$0.1894 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slowly Activating K⁺ time-dependant activation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$x_{s2}$</td>
<td>$0.6440 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapidly Activating K⁺ time-dependant activation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$x_r$</td>
<td>$0.1902 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-type Ca²⁺ Channel $E_m$-dependant activation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>$0.1234 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-type Ca²⁺ Channel $E_m$-dependant inactivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>$0.9781$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{ta}$ Current activation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$zd_v$</td>
<td>$0.1209 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{ta}$ Current inactivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$yd_v$</td>
<td>$1.000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of available Actin sites</td>
<td></td>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>$0.2463 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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